

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 09/832,131 04/11/2001 Juin-Hwey Chen 1875.0250003 1569 **EXAMINER** 26111 7590 10/22/2004 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC ALBERTALLI, BRIAN LOUIS 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20005 2655

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/832,131	CHEN, JUIN-HWEY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian L Albertalli	2655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		ith the correspondence address	'
• •	VIO OFT TO EVOIDE AN	ONTHO FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	l.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	July 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			;
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,12-15 and 20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>3-6,8-11,16-19 and 21-24</u> is/are objective.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	10001704.	
Attachment(s)	A) 🗀 latan is	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/30/04.	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 4, 8, 9, 17 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a) In claim 4, line 3, "and" should be -or--.
 - b) In claim 8, line 2, "vectors" should be -vector--.
 - c) In claim 9, line 3, "and" should be -or--.
 - d) In claim 17, line 3, "and" should be -or--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1, 2, 7, 12-15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Galand et al. (U.S. Patent 5,007,092).

In regard to claim 1, Galand et al. discloses a method for searching VQ codevectors, comprising:

(a) predicting the speech signal to derive a residual signal (Fig. 2, adaptive predictor 10 provides a residual signal r(n), column 1, lines 64-68);

Art Unit: 2655

(b) deriving a VQ input vector (e(n)) corresponding to a VQ error vector (e'(n)), based on the residual signal (r(n)) and a corresponding one of the N VQ codevectors (See Fig. 3, e(n) corresponds to error residual signal e'(n), since e(n) is used to find the closest entry in the CELP coder, then that entry is used to generate e'(n), column 2, lines 7-10 and lines 23-26. Input vector e(n) is derived from the residual signal r(n), column 1, line 68 through column 2, line 5; as well as a corresponding VQ codevector. That is, predicted residual rp(n), which is subtracted from residual signal r(n), is derived from error residual vector e'(n), which, in turn, is derived from a corresponding VQ codevector. See looping structure of system in Fig. 3, as well as the e'(n) loop in Fig. 4);

- (c) repeating steps (b) for each of the N VQ codevectors to produce N VQ error vectors corresponding to the N VQ codevectors (e(n) is compared to each entry in the codebook, column 2, lines 7-10); and
- (d) selecting the preferred VQ codevector as a VQ output vector corresponding to the residual signal based on the N VQ error vectors (the best matching entry in the codebook is selected as the output vector, column 2, lines 10-16. As discussed in reference to step (b) above, the codebook entry is selected according to e(n), which corresponds to the residual signal based on the error vectors e'(n)).

In regard to claim 2, Galand et al. discloses deriving a VQ error energy value corresponding to each of the N VQ error vectors of step (b), wherein step (d) comprises selecting one of the N VQ codevectors corresponding to a minimum error energy value

Art Unit: 2655

as the preferred VQ codevector (the lowest global block difference energy is selected to be the output codeword, column 2, lines 10-14).

In regard to claim 7, Galand et al. discloses:

- (a)(i) predicting the speech signal to produce a predicted speech signal (adaptive predictor A(z) produces coefficients); and
- (a)(ii) combining the predicted speech signal with the speech signal to produce the residual signal (See Fig. 2, 10, coefficients are combined with speech signal s(n) to produce residual signal r(n), column 1, lines 64-68).

In regard to claim 12, Galand et al. discloses a method for searching VQ codevectors, comprising:

- (a) predicting the speech signal to derive a residual signal (Fig. 2, adaptive predictor 10 provides a residual signal r(n), column 1, lines 64-68);
- (b) deriving N VQ input vectors each based on the residual signal and a corresponding one of the N VQ codevectors, each of the N VQ input vectors corresponding to one of N VQ error vectors (See Fig. 3, e(n) corresponds to error residual signal e'(n), since e(n) is used to find the closest entry in the CELP coder, then that entry is used to generate e'(n), column 2, lines 7-10 and lines 23-26. Input vector e(n) is derived from the residual signal r(n), column 1, line 68 through column 2, line 5; as well as a corresponding VQ codevector. That is, predicted residual rp(n), which is subtracted from residual signal r(n), is derived from error residual vector e'(n), which, in

Art Unit: 2655

turn, is derived from a corresponding VQ codevector. See looping structure of system in Fig. 3, as well as the e'(n) loop in Fig. 4); and

(c) selecting the preferred one of the N VQ codevectors as a VQ output vector corresponding to the residual signal, based on the N VQ error vectors (the best matching entry in the codebook is selected as the output vector, column 2, lines 10-16. As discussed in reference to step (b) above, the codebook entry is selected according to e(n), which corresponds to the residual signal based on the error vectors e'(n)).

In regard to claim 13, Galand et al. discloses deriving N VQ error energy values each corresponding to one of the N VQ error vectors of step (b), wherein said selecting step (c) comprises selecting one of the N VQ codevectors corresponding to a minimum one of the N error energy values as the preferred one of the VQ codevectors (the lowest global block difference energy is selected to be the output codeword, column 2, lines 10-14).

In regard to claim 14, Galand et al. discloses a system for searching VQ codevectors, comprising:

predictor logic adapted to predict the speech signal to derive a residual signal (Fig. 2, adaptive predictor 10 provides a residual signal r(n), column 1, lines 64-68);

an input vector deriver adapted to derive N VQ input vectors each corresponding to one of N VQ error vectors, based on the residual signal and a corresponding one of the N VQ codevectors (See Fig. 3, e(n) corresponds to error residual signal e'(n), since

e(n) is used to find the closest entry in the CELP coder, then that entry is used to generate e'(n), column 2, lines 7-10 and lines 23-26. Input vector e(n) is derived from the residual signal r(n), column 1, line 68 through column 2, line 5; as well as a corresponding VQ codevector. That is, predicted residual rp(n), which is subtracted from residual signal r(n), is derived from error residual vector e'(n), which, in turn, is derived from a corresponding VQ codevector. See looping structure of system in Fig. 3, as well as the e'(n) loop in Fig. 4); and

a selector adapted to select the preferred one of the N VQ codevectors as a VQ output vector corresponding to the residual signal, based on the N VQ error vectors (the best matching entry in the codebook is selected as the output vector, column 2, lines 10-16. As discussed in reference to step (b) above, the codebook entry is selected according to e(n), which corresponds to the residual signal based on the error vectors e'(n)).

In regard to claim 15, Galand et al. discloses an error-energy calculator to derive N VQ error energy values each corresponding to one of the N VQ error vectors, the selector being adapted to select one of the N VQ codevectors corresponding to a minimum one of the N VQ error energy values as the preferred one of the VQ codevectors (the lowest global block difference energy is selected in the CELP coder to be the output codeword, column 2, lines 10-14).

In regard to claim 20, Galand et al. discloses a predictor adapted to predict the speech signal to produce a predicted speech signal (adaptive predictor A(z) produces coefficients); and

a second combiner adapted to combine the predicted speech signal with the speech signal to produce the residual signal (See Fig. 2, 10, coefficients are combined with speech signal s(n) to produce residual signal r(n), column 1, lines 64-68).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-6, 8-11, 16-19 and 21-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose and would not suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art, the looping structure as defined in claims 3, 8, 16, and 21. Specifically, the prior art of record does not disclose combining an VQ input vector and a VQ codevector to produce an VQ error vector, then combining a noise feedback vector, produced by filtering the error vector, back with the residual signal to create the VQ input vector.

Art Unit: 2655

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bhaskar (U.S. Patent 5,487,086) discloses a vector quantization system. Cuperman et al. (U.S. Patent 4,963,034) discloses a vector quantization coder that utilizes a backward predictive technique to modify the codebook.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian L Albertalli whose telephone number is (703) 305-1817. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, every second Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Talivaldis Smits can be reached on (703) 305-3011. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2655

In Mahr

Page 9

SUSAN MCFADDEN PRIMARY EXAMINER